

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
RIMINI STREET, INC., et al.,
Defendants

CASE NO. 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
RIMINI STREET, INC.'S MOTION
TO SEAL PORTIONS OF RIMINI
STREET INC.'S RESPONSE TO
ORACLE'S OBJECTIONS TO
PORTIONS OF MAGISTRATE
JUDGE FERENBACH'S ORDER
AND IN SUPPORT OF ORACLE'S
MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF
ORACLE'S OBJECTIONS TO
PORTIONS OF MAGISTRATE
JUDGE FERENBACH'S ORDER
[ECF NO. 1312]

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pending before this Court is Defendant Rimini Street, Inc.’s Motion to Seal Portions of Rimini’s Response to Oracle’s Objections to Portions of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach’s Order and in Support of Oracle’s Motion to Seal Portions of Oracle’s Objections to Portions of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach’s Order (“Motion to Seal”). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, *inter alia*, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Rimini’s Motion to Seal and good cause existing:

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Rimini's Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk
2 of the Court shall file under seal (1) portions of Rimini's Response to Oracles Objections to
3 Portions of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's Order; and (2) portions of Oracle's Objections to
4 Portions of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's Order, as requested in Oracle's Motion to Seal
5 Portions of Oracle's Objections to Portions of Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's Order [ECF
6 No. 1312].

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED
9

10 Dated: _____

11
12 _____
13 Larry R. Hicks
14
15 United States Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28